



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/932,784	09/18/1997	JAMES A. MCKAIN	A0521/7125	5693
26643	7590 01/22/2003		_	_
PETER J. GORDON, PATENT COUNSEL AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC. ONE PARK WEST			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
TEWKSBUI	TEWKSBURY, MA 01876		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2615	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		78			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/932,784	MCKAIN ET AL.			
naviooi, nous	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HUY T NGUYEN	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a the character shall be application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the matching of the shortened statutory period for reply first the shortened statutory period fo	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or illing date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 July 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,9 and 23.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:		1			
	H PRIM	ARY EXAMINER			